SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, MARCH 25, 2004 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S024416 PEOPLE v. CLEVELAND AND VEASLEY

Opinion filed: Judgments affirmed in full

Majority Opinion by Chin, J.,

--- joined by George, C.J., Kennard, Werdegar,

Baxter, Brown and Moreno, JJ. Concurring Opinion by Chin, J.

S098817

B145406 Second Appellate District,

Division One

COVENANT CARE, INC. v. S.C. (INCLAN)

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

Opinion by Werdegar, J.

--- joined by George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter, Brown, Moreno, JJ., Rylaarsdam, J.P.T.*

*Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.

S122250

B163416 Second Appellate District,

Division Five

JESPERSEN v. ZUBIATE-BEAUCHAMP Time extended to grant or deny review

to April 30, 2004

S122402

B171794 Second Appellate District,

Division Three

WEISWASSER (LAWRENCE M.) ON H.C.

Time extended to grant or deny review

to May 3, 2004.

S122461

H025372 Sixth Appellate District

SANCHEZ (ISIDRO) ON H.C.

Time extended to grant or deny review

to May 5, 2004

S122500

B163469 Second Appellate District,

Division One

EMMA CORP. v. INGLEWOOD UNIFIED SCHOOL

Time extended to grant or deny review

to May 6, 2004

S122566

A105295 First Appellate District,

Division Four

TAKEDA CHEMICAL IND. v. S.C. (FARRIS)

Time extended to grant or deny review

to May 7, 2004.

S122610

C043801 Third Appellate District

AGAPE VILLAGES v. DEPT OF SOCIAL SVCS.

Time extended to grant or deny review

to May 7, 2004

S122640

A105314 First Appellate District,

Division Four

WAL-MART STORES v. S.C. (SAVAGLIO)

Time extended to grant or deny review

to May 13, 2004

S033901

PEOPLE v. THOMPSON (CATHERINE)

Extension of time granted

to May 20, 2004 to file appellant's opening brief. Extension is granted based upon counsel Gail R. Weinheimer's prior representation that she anticipates filing that brief by 5/20/2004. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S044693

PEOPLE v. WALL (RANDALL C.)

Extension of time granted

to June 1, 2004 to file appellant's opening brief.

S069959

PEOPLE v. LEWIS (MICHAEL B.)

Extension of time granted

to May 25, 2004 to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 110 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon counsel Tara K. Allen's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by 9/16/2004.

S115154

A095474 First Appellate District, Division Five

YANOWITZ v. LOREAL USA

Extension of time granted

to April 12, 2004 to file appellant's Consolidated Response to AC Briefs filed by California Employment Law Council, Employers Group and by Ballard, Rosenberg, Golper & Savitt.

S116793

FIERRO (DAVID) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

to April 26, 2004 to file the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, no further extension will be granted. Extension is granted based upon counsel James S. Thomson's representation that he anticipates filing that document by 4/25/2004.

S119129

H024003 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. GUZMAN

Extension of time granted

to file respondent's reply brief on the merits to and including April 30, 2004.

S119498

C032633 Third Appellate District

C034943 C040263

PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION Extension of time granted

respondent's time to serve and file the consolidated reply brief is extended to and including April 15, 2004.

STATE PERSONNEL BOARD v. DEPARTMENT OF

S120546

B163219 Second Appellate District, Division Three

CLAREMONT POLICE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION v.

CLAREMONT, CITY OF Extension of time granted

> to May 14, 2004 to file appellant's answer Brief on the merits

S122865

LEWIS v. ALFARO Orders filed (3)

- (1) The "Motion to Intervene in Support of Petitioners," filed on March 25, 2004, by California Senators William J. (Pete) Knight et al, California Assembly Members Ray Haynes et al., is denied.
- (2) The application of Focus on the Family, Family Research Council for leave to file an amicus brief is denied because the issue to which the brief is primarily addressed is not before the court.
- (3) The application of Jennie Schacht and Sue Burish for leave to file an amicus brief is denied because the issue to which the brief is primarily addressed is not before the court.

S122923

LOCKYER, AS ATTORNEY GENERAL v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO Order filed

> The application of Jennie Schacht and Sue Burish for leave to file an amicus brief is denied because the issue to which the brief is primarily addressed is not before the court.

B154139 Second Appellate District

PEOPLE v. RAUL OLVERA, JOSE AGUILAR AND VICTOR CADENA

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Four to Division Two.